1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC., a Case No. 2:25-cv-03960-MEMF-E 11 Washington corporation, District Judge Maame Ewusi Mensah 12 Plaintiff. Frimpong 13 Magistrate Judge Charles F. Eick VS. 14 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION FOR PERMANENT HUMAN THINGS, INC. d/b/a GENKI, a Delaware corporation. 15 INJUNCTION AND ENTERING Defendant. **JUDGMENT** 16 17 18 19 This matter came before the Court on the parties' Stipulated Motion for Entry 20 of Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction, Dkt. No. 30. The Court makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT: 21 22 1. This is an action filed by Plaintiff Nintendo of America Inc. against 23 Defendant Human Things, Inc. /d/b/a Genki for trademark infringement, unfair 24 competition, and false advertising. 25 2. Plaintiff and/or its affiliates develop and distribute the Nintendo Switch video game console ("Switch"), Nintendo Switch 2 video game console ("Switch 2"), 26 27 Joy-Con video game controller ("Joy-Con"), and Joy-Con 2 video game controller ("Joy-Con 2"); are the publishers and owners of exclusive rights in a number of video 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. Defendant operates and markets an online business selling accessories for gaming consoles and components like the Switch, Switch 2, Joy-Con, and Joy-Con 2, including through the website www.genkithings.com, social media, press interviews and releases, and other media.
- 4. Defendant began to advertise and promote accessories for use with the Switch 2 (the "Prerelease Genki Accessories") at least as early as January 7, 2025, which was prior to Plaintiff's announcement of the Switch 2 name and design through Plaintiff's "First-look Trailer," on January 16, 2025, and prior to Plaintiff's Nintendo Direct for the Switch 2 console and Plaintiff's release of technical specifications for the Switch 2 console, which were both released on April 2, 2025. Building off these prerelease promotions, Defendant promoted compatibility of the Prerelease Genki Accessories with the Switch 2 in a "Genki Direct" (also called "Genki Indirect") immediately after Plaintiff's Nintendo Direct and prior to the public release of the Switch 2 despite the lack of public information regarding the Switch 2 technical specifications.
- 5. Defendant also publicly exhibited an alleged 3-D printed mockup and digital render of the Switch 2 at CES 2025, which displayed Nintendo's trademarks prior to the First-look Trailer.
- 6. Third-party public media accounts reported that Defendant obtained unauthorized and illicit access to an unreleased Switch 2 prior to Plaintiff's First-look Trailer or release of the console and that Defendant was able to develop the Prerelease Genki Accessories because of such access.
 - 7. Defendant represents and attests that it did not obtain access to any of

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In addition, pursuant to the Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 14 § 1051 et seq. (the "Lanham Act"), California Business and Professions Code § 15 17200, and the Court's inherent equitable powers, it is hereby **ORDERED** that: 16

- 3. A permanent injunction is entered against Defendant enjoining it and its successors, affiliates, agents, officers, directors, employees, members, parents, subsidiaries, partners, predecessors, representatives, and assigns, and all those acting in privity with it or under its control from:
- using any logo or design mark owned by Plaintiff, or anything confusingly similar thereto, in any manner in connection with its business;
- b. using any Nintendo intellectual property, including but not limited to the marks "NINTENDO," "SWITCH," "SWITCH 2," or "JOY-CON," or anything confusingly or substantially similar thereto, in Defendant's product names;
- c. using or making reference(s) to Nintendo's intellectual property, including but not limited to the marks "NINTENDO," "NINTENDO SWITCH," and "NINTENDO SWITCH 2," or to Plaintiff or its affiliates, in connection with

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- e. for any accessories compatible or marketed for use with Nintendo's products, implementing product packaging, product designs, and product descriptions that fail to make clear to consumers Genki's status as an unlicensed accessory manufacturer;
- f. using elements in its product packaging, product designs, product descriptions, marketing, or branding that replicate or are confusingly or substantially similar to Nintendo's core color schemes (namely, red and white, red and blue, green and pink, blue and yellow, purple and orange, pink and yellow, and purple and green) except as otherwise provided in the Agreement;
- g. using Nintendo intellectual property or designs confusingly or substantially similar thereto, in connection with the advertising, promotion or marketing of any products, whether in printed materials, email marketing communications, oral representations or online content such as websites or social media under Defendant's control;
- h. infringing, or causing, enabling, facilitating, encouraging, promoting, inducing, or participating in the infringement of, any of Nintendo's trademarks, trade dress, copyrights, patents, or other intellectual property, whether now in existence or hereafter created, including but not limited to the unauthorized reproduction, display, public performance, or distribution of any of Nintendo's copyrighted video games or operating systems; and

1	i. committing any other violation of Nintendo's intellectual property
2	rights, worldwide, whether now existing or hereafter created.
3	4. This permanent injunction constitutes a binding order of this Court, and
4	any violation of this order by Defendant will subject it to the full scope of this Court's
5	contempt authority, including punitive, coercive, and monetary sanctions.
6	5. The parties have waived their right to appeal this Judgment.
7	6. This Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction over this action for the
8	purpose of enforcing this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction. Plaintiff is not
9	required to post any bond or security in connection with the Final Judgment and
10	Permanent Injunction, and Defendant has permanently, irrevocably, and fully waived
11	any right to request a bond or security.
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Judgment be entered in this matter in
13	accordance with the terms set forth above, and that the clerk be, and hereby is,
14	directed to close this matter.
15	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.
17	Dated: , 2025
18	Hon. Maame Ewusi Mensah Frimpong United States District Court Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING